**IN THE HIGH COURT OF BOMBAY AT GOA**

**WRIT PETITION NO. 404 OF 2007**

Umesh R. Nayak,
'Shiv-Krupa',
Near Civil Court,
Dag, Ponda-Goa. .... Petitioner

V/s

1. Dattaram Naik,
House No. PMC/W/8/200/2,
Near Civil Court,
Dag, Ponda-Goa.

2. Minister for Urban Development,
Government of Goa,
Secretariat, Porvorim,
Bardez, Goa. .... Respondents

Mr. R.V. Kamat, Advocate for the Petitioner.
Mr. S.S. Kantak, Advocate General with Mr. M.D. Salkar, Addl. Govt. Advocate for the Respondents.

**CORAM : N.A. BRITTO, J.**

**DATE : 22nd OCTOBER, 2007**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Kamat, the learned Counsel on behalf of the Petitioner who is the Respondent/original Complainant in an appeal filed under Section 184-D of the Goa Municipalities Act, 1968.

2. The grievance of the Petitioner is that arguments in the said appeal were heard on 26/12/2005 and the said Second Appeal was reserved for judgment which has not been delivered till date inspite of the fact that the

Petitioner had written letters dated 10/07/2006, 31/05/2007 to the Government for disposal of the said appeal.

3. On behalf of the Petitioner, reliance is placed on the order dated 24/08/2006 in Writ Petition No. 281 of 2006. It appears from the said order that the learned Advocate General on behalf of the State Government had assured to circulate the said order regarding time frame of delivering judgments, to all subordinate authorities working in the administration of the State of Goa.

4. The learned Advocate General has now appeared before this Court and has assured that the said appeal will be disposed off in a period of 3 months. The said statement is accepted.

5. The said appeal which is now pending before the State Government shall be disposed off within the said period of 3 months. A copy of the directions contained in order dated 24/08/2006 in Writ Petition No. 281 of 2006 may also be sent to the Government.

6. Petition disposed off on the above terms.

**N.A. BRITTO, J.**

NH/-